BLM maps for LHC ion collimation – an update

G.Bellodi, H.Braun

- *IR3:*

  Code setup for momentum collimation studies

  IR3 vs IR7

  Proposed maps for BLM installation

- *IR7:*

  pending actions
  results of iteration w/ integration team

IR3 momentum collimation studies: ICOSIM setup

Initial Gaussian beam distribution in x, x', y, y' with $\varepsilon_x=\varepsilon_y=1.5/(\beta\gamma)$ *mm mrad*

$\Delta p/p$ follows parabolic distribution in the interval *±[dpp1, dpp2]* where:

dpp2= $\Delta p/p_{\_TCP}$ (corresponding to primary collimator gap height)

dpp1= *dpp2* -4×$\Delta p/p_{\_\sigma x}$ (corresponding to σ_x of the beam)

-for B1 @ collision: dpp1=0.0013, dpp2=0.0018

Linear tracking from TCP to TCP with blow-up in $\Delta p/p$ every 100 turns

Full tracking and fragmentation physics same as per betatron collimation

Collimator list:

TCP.6L3.B1
TCSG.5L3.B1
TCSM.5L3.B1
TCSG.4R3.B1
TCSM.4R3.B1
TCSG.A5R3.B1
TCSM.A5R3.B1
TCSG.B5R3.B1
TCSM.B5R3.B1

...same for Beam2

Collimator settings

	n1	n2	n3
Injection IR3	8	9.3	10
Collision IR3	15	18	10

At TCP.6L3.B1:

x *vs* y



Δp/p: <>=0.0016
σ=6.6×10^-5



Impact parameter:
<>=37μm
σ=72 μm



IR7: (TCP.B6L7+TCP.C6L7+TCP.D6L7)



x *vs* y



Δp/p:
<>=0
σ=1.1× 10 -4



Impact parameter:
<>=0.66 μm
σ=0.40 μm

IR3: Beam1 at collision energy







50k particles

Collimator load concentrated onto one primary collimator

Most particles lost on first few turns

IR3



η=0.046

IR7



η=0.040

Qualitative difference ….

Collimation inefficiency



IR3 DS


Beam 1 Particle losses in IR3 dispersion suppressor, τ=12min
Power load (W/m)
distance from IP3 (m)
βx
Dx
Pb206
Pb205
Pb204
Pb203
Pb202
Tl207
Tl204
Tl203
Tl202
Tl201
Tl200
Tl199
others
MQ.8R3.B1
MQTLI.8R3.B1
MB.A9R3.B1
MB.B9R3.B1
MQ.9R3.B1
MQTLI.A9R3.B1
MQTLI.B9R3.B1
MB.A10R3.B1
MB.B10R3.B1
MQ.10R3.B1
MQTLI.10R3.B1
MB.A11R3.B1
CMS
MB.B11R3.B1
MQ.11R3.B1
MQTLI.11R3.B1

Outside DS: warm region



Δp/p effective.



Warm region

A





Z

Particles with very different rigidity:

DS: $0<|\Delta p/p \text{ eff}|<0.05$

Warm region: $0.08<|\Delta p/p \text{ eff}|<0.14$

Outside DS:

losses in the arc

2 ion species

Effective momentum error:

Pb^{207} → -0.48%

Tl^{204} → -0.71%

π phase advance from TCP

Δp/p > 0



Δp/p < 0



Beam2:



IR3 DS



arc



Warm region

Aperture sensitivity (beam1):

nominal



+4mm



-4mm



Within DS peaks are shifted by a few meters per mm change in aperture

IR3 BLMs coverage

•Only 2 dipole patches originally available (cells 8 and 11), one to be moved downstream to cell 9 upon request

•Tight coverage of cells 9 and 11 (2.5m spacing)

•Sparse(r) coverage of cell 10 (3.75m spacing)



Arc region:

Proposal for installation of extra patch turned down → use quadrupole patches only

Losses due to a couple of ion species only and more spread out longitudinally than in DS

3.75 m chamber spacing should be sufficient (..best we can do..)

Up to 33m long cable connections (?)



beam 1

BEAM	IP	SLOT	s(m) from IP3	Transv pos	MAD-X name	col
1	3	BJBAP.B9R3	315.5	Inside	MB.B9R3.B1	
			318			
			320.5			
			323			
			325.5			
			328			
			330.5			
			333			
			335.5			
			338			
1	3	BJBAP.A9R3	350	Inside	MQ.9R3.B1	
			353.75			
			357.5			
			361.25			
1	3	BJBAP.A10R3	365	Inside	MQ.10R3.B1	
			368.75			
			372.5			
			376.25			
1	3	BJBAP.A11R3	388	Inside	MB.A11R3.B1	
			390.5			
			393			
			395.5			
			398			
			400.5			
			403			
			405.5			
			408			
			410.5			
			413			
			415.5			
			418			
1	3	BYPLM.A12R3	512	Inside	MQ.12R3.B1	
			515.75			
			519.5			
			523.25			
1	3	BYPLM.A13R3	527	Inside	MQ.13R3.B1	
			530.75			
			534.5			
			538.25			

Total Beam1 = 39

6 patches, 39 BLMs

beam2

BEAM	IP	SLOT	s(m) from IP3	Transv pos	MAD-X name	cold mass type
2	3	BJBAP.B9L3	315.5	Outside	MB.B9L3.B2	MBA.9L3
			318			
			320.5			
			323			
			325.5			
			328			
			330.5			
			333			
			335.5			
			338			
2	3	BJBAP.A9L3	350	Outside	MQ.9L3.B2	MQ.9L3
			353.75			
			357.5			
			361.25			
2	3	BJBAP.A10L3	365	Outside	MQ.10L3.B2	MQ.10L3
			368.75			
			372.5			
			376.25			
2	3	BJBAP.A11L3	388	Outside	MB.B11L3.B2	MBA.11L3
			390.5			
			393			
			395.5			
			398			
			400.5			
			403			
			405.5			
			408			
			410.5			
			413			
			415.5			
			418			
2	3	BYPLM.A12L3	512	Outside	MQ.12L3.B2	MQ.12L3
			515.75			
			519.5			
			523.25			
2	3	BYPLM.A13L3	527	Outside	MQ.13L3.B2	MQ.13L3
			530.75			
			534.5			
			538.25			

Total Beam2 = 39

6 patches, 39 BLMs

IR7:

pending actions and integration updates

IR7: collimator length study



BLMs installation and map changes for IR7

Iteration with integration team on IR7 DS/B1:

i) some confusion on maps clarified

ii) quadrupoles issue:



One more chamber requested per quadrupole in MQ.9R7, MQ.10R7, MQ.11R7

..pending issues

- More iterations with integration for beam2 in DS7 and arc downstream
- Orbit correctors quench limits?
- IR3 maps (cable connections)?

Beam 1

BEAM	IP	SLOT	s(m) from IP7	Transv pos	MAD-X name	cold mass type
1	7	BJBAP.A9R7		Outside	MB.A9R7.B1	MBA.9R7
			317			
			320			
			322.5			
			325			
			327.5			
			330			
			332.5			
			335			
			337.5			
			340			
1	7	BJBAP.B9R7		Outside	MQ.9R.B1	MQ.9R7
			345			
1	7	BJBAP.A10R7		Outside	MQ.10R7.B1	MQ.10R7
			376.5			
1	7	BJBAP.A11R7		Outside	MB.A11R7.B1	MBA.11R7
			379.5			
			386			
			388.5			
			391			
			393.5			
			396			
			398.5			
			401			
			403.5			
			406			
			408.5			
			411			
			413.5			
			416			
			418.5			

4 patches, 27 BLMs

Beam 2

BEAM	IP	SLOT	s(m) from IP7	Transv pos	MAD-X name	cold mass type
2	7	BJBAP.A9L7		Inside	MB.A9L7.B2	MBB.9L7
			320			
			322.5			
			325			
			327.5			
			330			
			332.5			
			335			
			337.5			
			340			
			342.5			
2	7	BJBAP.A11L7		Inside	MB.B11L7.B2	MBA.11L7
			388.5			
			391			
			393.5			
			396			
			398.5			
			401			
			403.5			
			406			
			408.5			
			411			
			413.5			
			416			
			418.5			
2	7	BJBAP.B11L7		Inside	MQ.11L7.B2	MQ.11L7
			433			
2	7	BYPLM.A13L7		Inside	MQ.13L7.B2	MQ.13L7
			538.5			
			541			
2	7	BYPLM.A19L7		Inside	MQ.19L7.B2	MQ.19L7
			854			
			856.5			
			859			
			861.5			

5 patches, 30 BLMs

IR7 @ collision energy

Beam1



Losses confined to IR7 dispersion suppressor, cells 9 & 11

Two peaks downstream in the arc for Beam2

Beam2





BLMs coverage:

Philosophy :

Adding 1mm to aperture (all elements) causes a shift in the beam loss peaks by up to 2m

BLMs coverage of IR7:
3 patches available in cells 8,9,11 (dipoles) X 8 channels (max) X 2 BLMs

2 channels available on quad patches (regions 8,9,10,11,13)

Need tight coverage of cells 9-11

Numbers:

BLM active length = 40 cm

Dipole length = 14.3 m (x2)

Long. spread of energy deposition=

2.5 m FWHM

peak @ 1.5 m from impact

For coil deposition peak @ 30cm from impact point

RBruce