Fermilab Tevatron Collimator Experience

Dean Still April 14, 2005 Fermilab – Tevatron Department





Tevatron Collimator Experience

- Introduction:
 - Tevatron Machine Parameters
 - Overview Tevatron Collimator System
- Halo Removal Performance



Protecting Against Abort Kicker Prefires

Collimators, Quenching and Damage

FNAL-Tevatron



Tevatron Machine Parameters

Injection Energy	150 Gev
Flattop Energy	980 Gev
Number of bunches	36 proton & 36 antiproton
Particles per bunch	220-260 E9 protons & 30-50 E9 pbars
Total Beam Intensities at 150, 980 Gev	Protons 1E13, 9E12 Antiprotons 1.6 E12, 1.4E12
Orbits Types	Both beams in 1 vacuum pipe ; Beams separated by electrostatic separators
Lowbeta steps	15 different lattice in 25 steps
Beta *	1.7m and transition to 35cm
Number of IP's	2:CDF & D0
Shot setup (Fill) time	2 hours
Store lengths	~ 30 hours

FNAL-Tevatron

Fermilab

Tevatron Collimator Overview

Motivation for Collimators for Collider II Run.

- Collider I System was:
 - Slow motion controls.
 - not a 2 stage collimator system.
 - done completely manually and took 30 min

Collider II System desired:

- A Halo Removal system only- To reduce losses at IP's.
- A new 2 stage collimation design with new "L" shaped collimators.
- An automated system that could be initiated by Collider Sequencer software.
- Halo removal had be complete in 5 -10 min.

FNAL-Tevatron



Tevatron Collimator for Halo Removal

New System Build 4 new Targets and 12 new 1.5m Collimators for Halo Removal



Tevatron Shot Setup Process



Collimator Moving Order for Halo Removal

Collimators move under 2 types of feedback:

- 1) Loss monitor Feedback
- 2) Beam intensity and Loss monitor feedback



Proton & Pbar Targets moving during Halo Removal



Collimator Controls Hardware

Collimator Controls Block Diagram

FNAL-Tevatron

Tevatron Collimator Experience

- Introduction:
 - Tevatron Machine Parameters
 - Overview Tevatron Collimator System

Halo Removal Performance

Protecting Against Abort Kicker Prefires

Collimators, Quenching and Damage

FNAL-Tevatron

Halo Removal Efficiency

Halo Removal Efficiency (Protons)

Halo Removal Efficiency (Anitprotons)

CDF Halo loss reduced ~ 10 D0 Halo loss reduced ~ 1 until Vacuum and alignment improvements

CDF Halo loss reduced ~ 20 D0 Halo loss reduced ~ 100

FNAL-Tevatron

Fermilab

CDF & D0 Halo Loss vs. Store

Halo Removal Comments

Success

- New 2 stage design has proven to work with good efficiency.
- Automation process to Halo Removal very easy and reliable
- Adapting
 - The Double scrape: Collimators stopping prematurely.
 - Alignment of Collimators; only checked 3 times a year

Halo Removal Comments- Continued

Problems

 Quenching while scraping - Providing a Post-Mortem account in the Collimator Front end.

Quenching due to automating Halo Removal.

Date	Lost Store Comment
March 2002	Lost store at Halo removal due to mech stand failure
March 2002	Lost store at Halo removal due to mech stand failure
March 2005	Lost store at Halo removal due to D17 ahead of D49
March 2005	Lost store at Halo removal due to FE bug
March 2005	Lost store at Halo removal due to FE bug

FNAL-Tevatron

Tevatron Collimator Experience

Introduction:

- Tevatron Machine Parameters

Overview Tevatron Collimator Syst

Halo Removal Performance

Protecting Against Abort Kicker Prefires

Collimators, Quenching and Damage

FNAL-Tevatron

History of Abort Kicker Prefires

3/18/2005 4048 aak1 lowbeta A48 and A11 in. A48 Stopped 4 bunches 150 150 150 3/9/2005 4029 pak3 flattop A48 and A11 not in 190 190 190 3/8/2005 4027 aak1 lowbeta A48 and A11 in. Opened HA48 element 150 150 150 2/20/2005 3991 aak1 lowbeta A48 in , resurveyed from other events 0 0 0 4/16/2004 3411 aak1 lowbeta A48 in but too far from beam 170 183 202 4/4/2004 3350 flattop A48 not in 0 0 0 0	150 190 150
3/9/2005 4029 pak3 flattop A48 and A11 not in 190 190 190 3/8/2005 4027 aak1 lowbeta A48 and A11 in. Opened HA48 element 150 150 150 2/20/2005 3991 aak1 lowbeta A48 in , resurveyed from other events 0 0 0 4/16/2004 3411 aak1 lowbeta A48 in but too far from beam 170 183 202 4/15/2004 3350 flattop A48 not in 0 0 0 0	190 150
3/8/2005 4027 aak1 lowbeta A48 and A11 in. Opened HA48 element 150 150 150 2/20/2005 3991 aak1 lowbeta A48 in , resurveyed from other events 0 0 0 4/16/2004 3411 aak1 lowbeta Not a store only P24 P25 No quench	150
2/20/2005 3991 aak1 lowbeta A48 in , resurveyed from other events 0 0 0 4/16/2004 3411 aak1 lowbeta Not a store only P24 P25 No quench	
4/16/2004 3411 aak1 lowbeta Not a store only P24 P25 No quench	0
4/15/2004 3401 aak1 lowbeta A48 in but too far from beam 170 183 202 4/4/2004 3350 flatton A48 not in 0.2 0 0.4	
1/1/2004 3350 flatton A48 not in 0.2 0 0.4	167
4/4/2004 0.2 0 0.4	0.9
4/1/2004 3337 aak1 lowbeta A48 in but too far from beam ~ 100 ~ 100 ~ 80	170
12/20/2003 3108 all? Iowbeta AD room lost power	
3/1/2003 2285 pak3 No prefire collimator installed 82 157 134	125
11/28/2002 2011 aak3 flattop No prefire collimator installed 215 189 183	129
11/22/2002 1991 aak1 flattop No prefire collimator installed 131 188 182	130
11/9/2002 pak3 flattop No prefire collimator installed 15 200 15	240
9/4/2002 ? ? No prefire collimator installed	
7/27/2002 ? ? No prefire collimator installed Prefire Collimators Not insta	lled
7/3/2001 pak3 150gev No prefire collimator installed	
3/28/2001 pak5 prefire No prefire collimator installed	
11/7/1995 pak3 flattop Run I No prefire collimator installed	
7/11/1995 ? flattop Run I No prefire collimator installed	
11/13/1994 aak3 ? Run I No prefire collimator installed	
11/13/1994 aak3,4,5 ? Run I No prefire collimator installed Collider Dup I Drofiree	
11/13/1994 aak3,4,5 ? Run I No prefire collimator installed COIIIOEL RUN I PICIIIES	
11/11/1994 aak2,3,4,5 ramping Run I No prefire collimator installed	
11/11/1994 aak2,3,4,5 ramping Run I No prefire collimator installed	
11/9/1994 aak3,4,5 flattop Run I No prefire collimator installed	
10/28/1994 aak3,4,5 ramping Run I No prefire collimator installed	
4/21/1994 pak1 flattop Run I No prefire collimator installed	

FNAL-Tevatron

Fermilab

FNAL-Tevatron

뚶 Fermilab

A49 Loss Paddle for Prefire 3-8-2005

Quench Data

Comments on Kicker Prefire and Collimators

- Tevatron did not originally design a collimator system to protect against kicker prefires.
- Once the collimator was installed, it was hard to tell if the prefired beam was hitting it.
- Once confident prefired beam hit the collimator, may need to increase length to protect downstream cold spool.
- Need a better post-mortem system to determine where all kicked bunches went.

Tevatron Collimator Experience

Introduction:

- Tevatron Machine Parameters

Overview Tevatron Collimator Syst

Halo Removal Performance

Protecting Against Abort Kicker Prefires

Collimators, Quenching and Damage

FNAL-Tevatron

Collimators, Quenches and Damage

- December 5, 2003
 - First learned of a new category of quench called a "Fast Quench"
 - A Roman Pot moved into beam due to a controls error causing beam loss damaging 2 collimators and 2 spool pieces (3 correction elements)

A48 Bus Drawing – Fast Quench

Tevatron Ring Wide Loss Plot (Dec 5)

Comments on Fast Quench

- Tevatron masks all BLM inputs during a store due to very high probability of false abort.
- Fixed the Fast Quench by QPM code; over sample and detect a large quench. Pulls abort within 2msec instead of 16msec.
- BLM upgrade is in the works to gain additional protection.
- Host of mechanisms to create fast quench
 - Separator sparks
 - Motion controlled device, Vacuum values, pots, mirrors for sync light, collimators

Comments on Fast Quench- Continued

- May 15, 2004 Unknown cause – Damaged E03 collimator again
- With a scan found it damaged and ran 3 months with it damaged.

Summary

- Collider II Halo removal system has worked well as far as halo removal efficiency and automatic process.
- Still working on improving collimator and post –mortem system for abort kicker prefires.
- Dec 5,2003 quench and damage was "wake up call" to rethink Tevatron beam loss protection.
- Learned details of new category of "fast quenches".
 - Implemented new QPM code to abort on detection of quench within 1-2msec, instead of 16msec. But still mask BLM during stores due to false aborts.
- Reviewed all motion controlled devices with appropriate Abort.
 - Vacuum abort upgrade done.
 - Pot motion upgrade done.
- Insufficient process for gathering systematic and automatic data for analyzing past quenches involving beam loss. Working on better record keeping of data for every quench.
- Provided input to new BLM system coming in 2005.

