Update on cryo-collimators for Phase 2 ion collimation

G. Bellodi, BE-ABP-HSL – 10/05/10

"2009" Phase II optics- IR7 only

Proposed layout:



TCRYO.AR7 at 300.19m from IP7 – 1m long jaws TCRYO.BR7 at 387.29 from IP7 – 1m long jaws

Gap in #s		
ТСР	6.0	
TCSG	26.5	
TCSM	7.0	
TCRYO	15.0	
TCLA	10.0	
тст	retracted	

From the external review in 2009...

Cu TCRYOs at 30σ

Beam1, Pb208 @ 7TeV eq. collision optics





Power load on cryogenic collimators	Gap size	TCRYO.AR7	TCRYO.BR7
	15σ	186 W	180 W
	30σ	83 W	260W
	45σ	21 W	190 W

Nominal Pb208 beam2, 7TeV eq.,Cu TCRYOs at 15σ , τ =12min, distributions after 20 turns



Effective $\Delta p/p=Z1/A1*A2/Z2-1$



Fraction of total collimator impacts on r.h.s. jaw: TCRYO.AL7 = 1.1% (of ~120W) TCRYO.BL7 = 8% (of ~130W)

Nominal Pb208 beam1, 7TeV eq., <u>tungsten</u> TCRYO at 15 σ , τ =12min



Nominal Pb208 beam1, 7TeV eq., tungsten TCRYO at 15σ , τ =12min – one jaw only, 100 turns





50k particles: 34k lost on collimators, 55 on aperture→ 0.2% inefficiency

Nominal Ar40 beam1, 7TeV eq, tungsten TCRYO at 15 σ , τ =12min





Fraction of total collimator impacts on r.h.s. jaw: TCRYO.AL7 = 27% TCRYO.BL7 = 72%

Nominal Ar40 beam1, 7TeV eq, tungsten TCRYO at 15σ , <u>one jaw only</u>, I=2e9 ppb, τ =12min





50k particles η=1.2% inefficiency

For reference,2009 simulations for nominal Ar40 beam1, 7TeV eq, copper TCRYOs, I=2e9 ppb, τ =12min, 100 turns

