



57th Meeting of the LHC Collimation working group May 2nd, 2005

Sound and vibration measurements at the collimator robustness test

G. Spiezia, O. Aberle, R. Assmann, A. Masi, S. Redaelli





Acknowledgments

From Universita'di Napoli FEDERICO II	Prof. F. Cennamo, Eng. U. Cesaro	
AB/ATB	E. Chiaveri, A. Ferrari, M.	
	Magistris, V. Vlachoudis, A.	
	Fabich, R. Wilfinger, M. Eller, F.	
	LoPrete, P. Francon, F. Decorvet, J.	
	F. Deheurle	
TS/MME	R. Perret	
	A. Bertarelli	
	A. Dallocchio	
AB/BDI	B. Dehning	
AT/MTM	P. Sievers	
	L. Bottura	
AB/ABP	G. Arduini	
AB/OP	J. Wenninger	





Overview

- Motivation
- Experimental Setup
- Software
- Analysis Data
- Conclusions



Motivation



- Detect impacts of high intensity, high energy proton beams is an issue for other operating machines!
- How can we detect beam impacts (\rightarrow damage) on the LHC collimators??
- Sound and vibration measurements proposed to achieve this goal.
- System developed and implemented in the collimator prototype for the robustness test at TT40 (November 2004)

Goals:

- 1. Detect impacts of proton beams on the LHC collimator
- 2. *Possibly* reveal damage of the mechanical structure (Not done because the collimator survived!)



Available Sensors



Piezoelectric accelerometers usable over a wide frequency range measurement (radiation hard)

N.

1

2

2

2

1

Sensor type

B&K 2273AM1

B&K 2273A

AP40

AP37

Mic. B&K4189









Technical Challenges
High radiation environment
Long cables for installation
High frequency acquisition
Short time
(Beginning of the project in August, First measurement in October)

Remote control of the hardware placed in the tunnel





System Architecture







Preamplifiers



Working principle: Charge-voltage conversion of the accelerometer signals



4-Channels Nexus Preamplifier for the B&K accelerometers and the microphone

- Setting sensor sensitivity
- Setting low and high pass filter for each channel
- Setting gain for each channel (6 orders of magnitude)
- Automatic test to check the correct installation of the accelerometers
- RS-232 Programmable

Lower cost solution



4 AP-5000 line drive preamplifiers for the AP accelerometers

- Fixed gain
- No control is possible
- Powered by a constant current source provided by the data acquisition board





Data Acquisition Board NI 4472

N° channels	8- simultaneously sampled	
Sampling rate	102.4 kS/s down to 1.0 kS/s	
ADC type	Sigma-delta converter	
ADC modulator oversample rate	64 f _s for 51.2kS/s <fs≤102.4ks s<br="">128fs for 1.0 kS/s≤fs≤51.2kS/s</fs≤102.4ks>	
Idle channel noise	110 dB min 1.0 kS/s≤fs≤51.2kS/s 105 dB min for 51.2kS/s <fs≤102.4ks s<="" th=""></fs≤102.4ks>	
Resolution	24 bit	
Input Range	±10 Volt	
Input Configuration	Pseudo-differential	
Input Coupling	AC or DC software selectable	
Input current source	0 or 4 mA each channel independently software-selectable	

- 24 bit resolution ensures an acceptable quantization noise level even for no full scale signal.
- 8- Simultaneously-sampled channels (up to 100 kHz)







Software

Applications developed in Labwindows 7.0

- Acquisition and saving Data
- Preliminary analysis off line
- Remote control of the NEXUS preamplifier.





Acquisition Data



- Setting of the software selectable parameters of the board.
- 2. Check of the writing operations on the disk.
- 3. Conversion operations from binary to text format.
- 4. Reproduction of the microphone data.
- 5. Setting for an automatic continuous acquisition.





Preliminary Analysis



Time Domain



Detailed off line analysis performed with Matlab 7.0.1





B&K Preamplifier

🗃 Amplifier			
Overload	Overload	Overload	Overload
Channel1 🥥 🛛	Channel2 🥥 🛛	Channel3 🥥 🛛	Channel4 🥥 🔟
Low Frequency Limit High Frequency limit La	w Frequency Limit High Frequency limit	Low Frequency Limit High Frequency limit L	w Frequency Limit High Frequency limit
10Hz 10KHz V	10Hz 🔽 10KHz 🔽	10Hz 🔽 10KHz 🔽	10Hz 🔽 10KHz 🔽
Output Sensitivity Sensitivity pC/ms-2	Output Sensitivity Sensitivity pC/ms-2	Output Sensitivity Sensitivity pC/ms-2	Output Sensitivity Sensitivity mV/Pa
316mV/ms-2 🔻 🖨 1.085	316mV/ms-2 💌 🔮 0.3791	316mV/ms-2 🔻 🗘 0.3722	1V/Pa 🗢 🗧 46.1000
Input Floating Output Floating	Input Floating Output Floating	Input Floating Output Floating	Input Floating Output Floating
	OFF		OFF
Correction Gain Impulse Frequency (Hz)	Correction Gain Impulse Frequency (Hz)	Correction Gain Impulse Frequency (Hz)	Correction Gain Cable Lenght
₫1.00000 ₫3000.000	₫1.00000 ₫3000.000	₫1.00000 ₫3000.000	€ 1.00000 € 12
Resonance Frequency (Hz)	Resonance Frequency (Hz)	Resonance Frequency (Hz)	Supplu delta Trop Voltage
Iest \$ 0.000	Iest \$ 0.000	<u>I</u> est \$0.000	
255 Code Staue Enable	255 Code Staus Enable	255 Code Staus Enable	4 mA- 0N- 0
	Remote Power		255 Code Staus Enable
Send Lommand			
		Ch:	rge Batteru
Sen	d Refresh Panel		On Charge
Read			J Off 💛
	CheckOverload	Cha	irge Time Left Cycle Count
<u>C</u> lear I	Box	0	0
	1	Сара	city Left Time Alarm Battery Time Left
T Main	1	I r	0 0
			Defects Clarker Denter
			Denesu prairis parreià

- 1. Setting RS232 parameter
- 2. Setting input output parameters
- 3. Check status device
- 4. Resonance test
- 5. Setting parameters for microphone







Measurement Conditions



- For each jaw, collision at the maximum proton beam intensity (4 x 72 bunches) for different transverse impact depth (~1 mm up to ~6 mm)
- For each jaw, collision at increasing proton beam intensity for a specific transverse impact depth (~ 5 mm)
- Bump on the TED (target 5 m distant from the collimator) at increasing proton beam intensity





Measurement results

COLLIMATOR

TED





We can distinguish between impact on the Ted and on the collimator

Detection of the impact on the collimator for TT40 test achieved





The shape peak is mainly noise (neglected in the Fourier analysis)

Frequency analysis focused on the comparison of the signal before the peak with the signal after the exponential decay





Comparison with Sound spectrum of TED



The excited frequency components change when the beam hits the TED





Frequency Analysis



The main frequency components are the same for different beam intensities This is in according with mechanical inspection of the jaws





Comparisons with Lab Test





LAB TEST: Vacuum Tank



LAB TEST: Bellow







Dependence on beam intensity and depth

Good correlation of the microphone signal with the beam intensity and the impact depth on the jaw









As for the microphone, "saturation" peak and the consequent exponential decay

BUT vibration signal decays earlier (less precise Fourier analysis) Not clear which is the part of the instrumentation (cables, sensor or preamplifier) more influenced by the critical measurement conditions

Accelerometer signals evaluated in the time interval individualized with the microphone









Frequency Analysis



- Some frequency components come out after the impact
- Comparisons with the expected vibrations of the mechanical structure is on going





Conclusions

- Successful detection of beam impacts on the collimator with sound/vibration measurements achieved at TT40!
- This is a powerful tool that can in principle be used for the LHC.
- Dependence on beam intensity and impact depth were measured with the microphone and are in qualitative agreement with expectations
- Frequency analysis showed vibrations of the whole collimator mechanical structure after beam impact (confirmed by laboratory measurements)
- Effect of the radiations under investigation with the manufacturer (more important for accelerometer signals)





57th Meeting of the LHC Collimation working group May 2nd, 2005

Sound and vibration measurements at the collimator robustness test

G. Spiezia, O. Aberle, R. Assmann, A. Masi, S. Redaelli