Simulated radiation doses at the MBW's of IR7

FLUKA team

Geometry and binning

- 40 X 45 X 100 cmc block of steel
- 10 cm distance from the MBW
- Inner radius: from 2 to 4 cm
- 1 cmc binning
- Corrections:
 - Drift space chambers: 4 cm radius
 - Beam pipe inside the MBW:

H:2.95 cm X V:2.2 cm semi-axes ellipse

Dose distribution

- Horizontal loss scenario 40% worse than vertical
- Top and bottom coils: similar dose distribution
- Thin layer of epoxy wrapping the pipe:
 the dose is higher than in the coils

Total power in the MBW

| | TCL | MBW |
|----------------|--------|-------|
| No absorber | | 32 kW |
| 40 mm radius | 5.3 kW | 29 kW |
| 30 mm radius | 17 kW | 21 kW |
| 25 mm | 25 kW | 15 kW |
| 25 mm large | 30 kW | 13 kW |
| ellipses | 24 kW | 15 kW |
| ellipses ideal | | 11 kW |
| 20 mm | 36 kW | 10 kW |

Max dose, MBW coils, MGy/year

| | 1 cmc | Larger bin |
|------------------|-------|------------|
| No absorber | 260 | 206 |
| 40 mm radius | 260 | 200 |
| 30 mm radius | 23 | 17 |
| 25 mm,large | 17 | 13 |
| ellipses | 10 | 8 |
| ellipses ideal 🤇 | 1.3 | 0.5 |
| 20 mm radius | 11 | 8 |

Important contribution from radiation scattered

inside the beam pipe

Next steps

- New 2d magnetic field in the MBW (already implemented)
- Simulations for the BLM and for the MQW
- Study of the energy in the epoxy layer wrapping the pipes
- A new 3d magnetic field may affect the dose distribution
- Investigate a longer absorber

Questions

- What are our constraints:
 - materials?
 - size of the ellipse?
 - above what level do we need water cooling?

