Collimator Jaw Position Control

R. LOSITO AB/ATB LCWG, 28/2/2005

Collimation team wish

■±10µm

- Resolution?
- Precision?
- Repeatability?
- Accuracy?

Stepping Motors

- Collimators will be moved by Stepper motors:
 - \blacksquare δL is defined by the geometry of the motor
 - That makes "easy" to implement a given ΔL
 - The movement can be implemented in Open loop → position sensors are not necessarily needed → the system is more robust.
 - After a power-cut, or single-event, we need to reset the step counter to a home position

Stepping Motors

Ideal life solution

- We use
 - 2-phase hybrid motors, 200 step/revolution
 - Angle resolution 1.8° ± 0.1°
 - 2mm pitch leadscrew
 - Pull-out torque sufficiently higher than load torque (x 5)
- And we have:
 - $2mm/200 \text{ step} = (10 \pm 0.5) \ \mu m !!!!$
 - Static Error due to load negligible if
 - pull-out torque >> load torque
- With Ministep Driver we can do 400, 800, 1600, 3200 steps/revolution (5, 2.5, 1.25, 0.75 µm)

BUT.....

LCWG, 28/2/2005

Stepping Motors

Real Life:

- Unprecedented Radiation levels:
 - 3÷4 MGray/year
 - Will the leadscrew with dry lubrication work correctly on long term?
 - Will the motor keep its characteristics (pull-out Torque) on long term?
 - Mechanical play, heating...
- Do we have a reliable reference point (ZERO)?
 - Motors only ensure
 <u>AL</u> (relative positioning is ok, what about absolute?)



For all these reasons it is suitable to check the position with a sensor (or more...)

- Analog:
 - Linear
 - Resistive
 - Capacitive
 - Inductive
 - Hall Effect
 - Magnetoresistive
 - Magnetostrictive
 - LVDT
 - Rotative
 - Resolver
 - RVDT

Digital

- Encoders, both linear and rotative.
 - Magnetic
 - Optical
 - Contact

- Analog:
 - Signal is continuously changing with position
 → infinite resolution
 - Ouput Signal prone to significant reduction of SNR for transmission over long distances
 - Careful filtering and signal processing has to be performed (expensive electronics)

- Digital
 - Signal is in bit, resolution limited by quantization error (±¹/₂ bit)
 - Excellent performance with respect to long distance transmission.
 - In static condition, the electronics only need to detect "0" and "1", BER negligible.
 - No special effort on conditioning electronics, (cheaper)

- Digital encoders:
 - Linear
 - Magnetic:
 - Contact:
 - Optical:
 - Rotative
 - Contact:
 - Optical:

Not Precise (for models available on the market) and not Rad Hard? Not Precise and not on market anymore Precise, good absolute measure rad hard? (2 Mgray ok?)

Precise, good absolute measurement Precise, rad hard? (2 Mgray ok?)

- Digital:
 - Optical encoders:
 - Photodetectors tested up to 2 MGray gammas
 - Don't know about behavior with respect to high energy neutron flux...
 - Resolution can go down to 1 nm (Heidenheim)
 - Precision well below 1 µm
 - Needs R&D for assessment of radiation hardness



Contact rotary encoders:

- Each bit is generated by contact of a wiper on a track, alternatively conductive or dielectric (epoxy).
- 12 bit=12 wires (4 axes: NE48)
- Good precision (tracks obtained by photolitography)
- Good repeatability (±½ bit)
- Lifetime might be limited by oxidation (100'000 hours continuous operation guaranteed)

Contact rotary encoders:

- A model is used at CERN in Linacs and PS 80MHz cavities. Qualified up to 1 MGray.
- <u>IF</u> one can get them in time (usual delay 8 months for 1!!) and <u>IF</u> one can check for 10 MGray lifetime probably the best solution.
- 12 bits on 1 turn \rightarrow ±¹/₂ bit=±3 arc minute \rightarrow ±0.3 µm
- 12 bits on 17 turns \rightarrow ±¹/₂ bit=±51 arc minute \rightarrow ±5.1 µm

- Analog:
 - Linear
 - Resistive:
 - Capacitive:
 - Inductive:
 - Hall Effect:
 - Magnetoresistive:
 - Magnetostrictive:
 - LVDT:
 - Rotative
 - Resolver:

Not Precise Precise, single sided Precise, single sided Typically semiconductor, Rad hard? Not precise Precise (radar, but close electronics) Precise, double sided (ZERO!!!)

Precise, absolute measurement only on one turn Precise, < 360°

• RVDT:

LCWG, 28/2/2005

R. Losito, Collimator Jaw Position Control

- Analog summary:
 - Linear
 LVDT:

Precise, double sided (ZERO!!!)

- Rotative
 - Resolver: Precise

LCWG, 28/2/2005

R. Losito, Collimator Jaw Position Control

Analog:

- LVDT and Resolvers:
 - Radiation hardness: If the motor survives, the LVDT and the Resolvers can survive.
 - Lifetime: "infinite" since contactless (no mechanical stresses)
 - Resolution is determined by ADC in conditioning electronics.
 - 16 bits on ± 40 mm = 1.2µm resolution

LVDT:



LCWG, 28/2/2005

R. Losito, Collimator Jaw Position Control

17/28

LVDT:



LVDT:

- LVDT is used in position control applications for "homing" purpose (its zero is used as reference for positioning).
- <u>Repeatability</u> is intrinsically infinite, only depends on mounting (on us!!!). Commercial (expensive) models (non Rad Hard) are guaranteed for a <u>repeatability</u> of ±0.15µm
- Linearity depends on uniformity of material and of windings.
- NON LINEARITIES <u>DO NOT AFFECT ZERO</u>.
- Non linearities (if not drifting) can be compensated by calibration.

- LVDT:
 - Measured errors by one of the market leaders:
 - Zero Drift: $\pm 8 \mu m / \pm 30 mm$.
 - After one year it tends to reach a stable position. It can probably be reduced by applying aging thermal cycles.

Caractéristiques	Capteur	Conditionneur	Total
Dérive de zéro	+/-5µm	+/-45µm	+/-50µm
Dérive de sensibilité	+/-3µm	+/-45µm	+/-48µm
Non linéarité	+/-60µm	+/-24µm	+/-84µm
Stabilité dans le temps	+/-8µm	+/-40µm	+/-48µm
Précision réglage	/	+/-3µm	+/-3µm
		TOTAL en somme	+/-233µm
		des erreurs	
		TOTAL en moyenne	+/- 119μm
		quadratique	

□ <u>A +/-30mm</u>

- LVDT:
 - Adding a compensation to thermal drift of electronics:

Caractéristiques	Capteur	Conditionneur	Total
Dérive de zéro	+/-5µm	+/-12µm	+/-17µm
Dérive de sensibilité	+/-3µm	+/-12µm	+/-15µm
Non linéarité	+/-60µm	+/-24µm	+/-84µm
Stabilité dans le temps	+/-8µm	+/-40µm	+/-48µm
Précision réglage	/	+/-3µm	+/-3µm
		TOTAL en somme	+/-167μm
		des erreurs	
		TOTAL en moyenne	+/-100μm
		quadratique	

□ <u>A</u> +/-30mm

- $(5^2+12^2+3^2+12^2+3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \pm 18 \,\mu m$ uncertainity at zero
- ±51 µm ACCURACY at full scale

Resolver:





LCWG, 28/2/2005

R. Losito, Collimator Jaw Position Control

- Resolver:
 - Errors: No direct measurement available.
 - The resolver itself gives 7 arc minute (0.7 µm on 1 turn)
 - Signal conditioning electronics similar to LVDT: by using the same worst case ppm error we get:
 - Over 1 turn: \pm 30 arc minutes $\rightarrow \pm$ 3 μ m ACCURACY
 - Over 17 turn: ± 8 degrees $\rightarrow \pm 45$ µm ACCURACY
 - We should probably add something for SNR reduction

Positioning Strategy

Hypothesis:

- We can make an accurate calibration before installation
- Mechanical frame of the collimator does not drift on time
- Mechanical plays are negligible (if not, they should be added to the numbers in the following slides)
- Yearly calibration in the tunnel does not require human intervention in the tunnel to mount calibrated reference position sensors (no dose to personnel for the intervention)
- We have enough time to order and receive the sensors.

Positioning Strategy

Scenario n. 1:

- We use Contact rotary encoders demultiplied on 17 turns
- We use LVDTs for back-up on jaw position and for direct measurement of gap opening
- We can get:
 - ±5 μm accuracy on jaw position
 - ±10 µm accuracy on gap measured by difference
 - ±30 µm measured directly by LVDT (repeatibility of the measurement will probably be much better)

Positioning Strategy

Scenario n. 2:

- We use Resolvers as absolute angle measurement (1 resolver turn=1 motor turn)
- We use LVDTs for homing and back-up on jaw position and for direct measurement of gap opening
- We can get:
 - ±20 µm accuracy on jaw position
 - ±30 µm accuracy on gap measured by difference
 - ±30 µm measured directly by LVDT (repeatibility of the measurement will probably be much better)

Conclusions (1)

- Position error due to stepping motors : $\pm 0.5 \ \mu m$
- Having a reasonable ratio (5) between motor pull-out torque and load (jaw) torque should ensure the motors do not loose steps
- Position sensors can be used to check correct behavior
- Position measured with Analog sensors can give ±30 µm accuracy on gap
- Position measured with encoders can give ±10 µm accuracy on gap
- Mechanical plays will play a role (to be checked on prototypes)

Conclusions (2)

- Repeatability, especially on short term (<1 year), is going to be better, but it is difficult to quantify
- Some MD time has to be foreseen after long shutdown and re-calibration, to find again the working points at low intensity
- The time scale of the project probably does not leave us all the degrees of freedom in the choice of position sensors